UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,571	06/17/2005	Toru Kimura	273959US26XPCT	4485
22850 7590 02/19/2010 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3781	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/19/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/539,571	KIMURA ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ROBIN HYLTON	3781
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>ROBIN HYLTON</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Adnan Bohri</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 January 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 112, 2 nd paragraph		
Claims discussed: pending claims		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENEF See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicants' representative to discuss an examiner amendment to obviate a new rejection under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph. Mr. Bohri was not able to agree to proposed claim changes and was advised that an Office action would be prepared and mailed for applicants' consideration to place the application in condition for allowance.